In vitro screening of chemical and organic fungicides against Branch Canker disease in tea

J.MAREESWARAN AND P. NEPOLEAN



J. Mycopathol, Res, 54(2): 299-301, 2016; ISSN 0971-3719 © Indian Mycological Society, Department of Botany, University of Calcutta, Kolkata 700 019, India

This article is protected by copyright and all other rights under the jurisdiction of the Indian Mycological Society. The copy is provided to the author(s) for internal non-commercial research and educational purposes.

SHORT COMMUNICATION

In vitro screening of chemical and organic fungicides against Branch Canker disease in tea

J. MAREESWARAN* AND P. NEPOLEAN

Upasi Tea Research Foundation, Valparai 642127, Tamil Nadu

Received: 20.01.2016 RMS Accepted: 16.03.2016 Published: 25.07.2016

Branch canker disease is caused by *Macrophoma* sp. The infected stem portions of tea plant were collected and identified by using 18S rRNA method. *In vitro* experiment was carried out to evaluated various fungicides against branch canker pathogen. Out of the ten chemical fungicides tested, Benomyl, Carbendazim and Companian were found to be efficient against test pathogen at 10 ppm level. Copper oxychloride was noticed maximum growth inhibition of *Macrophoma* sp. The commercial botanical fungicides of Expel, Enroot and Attopsy were recorded highest inhibition of Branch canker pathogen at 0.1 % concentrations.

Key words: Antagonistic potential, fungicides, Macrophoma sp , nucleotide sequence.

Tea is the most popular beverage consumed in many parts of the world. Tea is produced from the young shoots of the commercially cultivated tea plant [Camellia sinensis (L.) O. Kuntze]. Tea in south India is cultivated in the hilly tracts of the Western Ghats at an altitude ranging from 500 to 2200 m above mean sea level. The Branch canker disease is caused by Macrophoma sp. and serious stem disease in southern India. The present study aimed to evaluate the effect of different chemical and organic fungicides against Branch canker pathogen under in vitro condition. The branch canker pathogen was isolated from tea growing area and identified through 18S rRNA methods. PCR amplification, DNA sequencing of the ITS region of the rRNA gene and finally sequences were submitted to NCBI (Accession No. KP004441 for Branch canker pathogen - VPM). The different concentration of fungicides and botanical fungicides were evaluated in vitro against branch canker pathogen applying Food Poisoned Technique using PDA as conventional medium. All the PDA plate containing fungicides were inoculated with 5 mm disc test pathogen from seventh days old culture. The plate containing PDA without fungicides were maintained as control and all treatments were replicated thrice. After seven days incubation, the treatment plates were measured along with fully grown control plate and per cent of inhibition (PI) was calculated by Bell'scale method. In vitro screening of systemic fungicides such as benomyl, carbendazim and companion showed 100 % growth inhibition against Macrophoma sp. at 10 ppm level followed by propiconazole and hexaconazole (Table 1). The same results are in agreement with Suryawanshi (2008) et al, who evaluated efficacy of different fungicides evaluated against Macrophomina phaseolina blight of

^{*}Corresponding author : jmareeswaran11@gmail.com

Table 1 : Evaluation of chemical fungicides against Macrophoma. sp. under in vitro level

| Chemical fungicides | Percentage of growth inhibition at different concentrations of chemical fungicides (ppm) | | | | | |
|---|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------------|
| | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | CD at P= 0.05 |
| Benomyl (50 % WP) | 100.00±00 | - | - | = | * | 0.0 |
| Propiconazole (25 % EC) | 75.00±1.15 | 78.22±0.44 | 81.44±0.38 | 85.44±0.48 | 89.22±0.57 | 1.7 |
| Mancozeb (75 % WP) | 31.44±1.00 | 45.33±1.98 | 49.86±1.06 | 55.33±0.74 | 62.66±0.99 | 3.6 |
| Carbendazim (50 % WP) | 100.00±00 | ŝ | ÷. | E | 54 28 | 0.0 |
| Companian (carbendazim 12 % + mancozeb 63 % WP) | 100.00±00 | - | - | - | 2 | 0.0 |
| Hexaconazole (5 % EC) | 35.99±1.28 | 40.44±0.75 | 59.55±1.59 | 61.55±0.64 | 66.66±0.53 | 3.0 |

Values are Means \pm SE of four replication of three repeated experiments

Table 2: In vitro screening of Copper fungicides against branch canker pathogen

| Copper group fungicides | Concentrations | Growth inhibition (%) | C.D. at P=0.05 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Copper oxychloride 435 (liquid) | 0.62% | 74.42±0.39 | |
| | 1% | 82.15±0.85 | 1.7 |
| | 1.24% | 83.08±0.51 | |
| | 1.85% | 87.33±0.47 | |
| Copper hydroxide (77 % WP) | 0.62% | 60.91±0.73 | |
| 1.5 fo 25 10 15 | 1% | 69.66±1.17 | 4.7 |
| | 1.24% | 77.71±2.64 | |
| | 1.85% | 82.71±0.49 | |
| Copper oxychloride (50 % WP) | 0.10% | 3.55±0.28 | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 0.30% | 84.26±0.96 | 2.0 |
| | 0.45% | 85.79±0.44 | |
| | 0.75% | 88.75±0.42 | |

Values are Means ± SE of three replication of three repeated experiments

Table 3: Bio efficacy of botanical fungicides against branch canker pathogen under lab condition

| | Botanical fungicides | Concentrations | % inhibition of growth | C.D. at P=0.05 |
|---|--|----------------|------------------------|----------------|
| | Ecocare | 0.10% | 7.62±0.45 | |
| | | 0.30% | 23.91±2.05 | |
| | | 0.50% | 29.95±0.51 | 3.6 |
| | | 0.75% | 44.04±0.77 | |
| | | 1% | 50.06±1.03 | |
| | Fungfinish (5 % copper formulation) | 0.10% | 0.00±0.00 | |
| | | 0.30% | 1.55±0.44 | |
| | | 0.50% | 38.04±1.21 | 2.1 |
| | | 0.75% | 75.33±0.48 | |
| | | 1% | 85.55±0.38 | |
| ٦ | Tari (Organic Plus Tea special) | 2% | 0.00±0.00 | |
| | | 4% | 19.55±0.99 | |
| | | 6% | 54.53±5.17 | 8.0 |
| | | 8% | 69.77±2.83 | |
| | Nimbicidine (0.03 % Azadiractin EC) | 2% | 11.75±0.40 | |
| | | 4% | 33.26±1.10 | 3.2 |
| | | 6% | 45.68±0.91 | |
| | | 8% | 52.53±1.17 | |
| | Tricure (0.03 % Azadiractin EC) | 2% | 10.80±0.54 | |
| | | 4% | 43.37±1.23 | 3.4 |
| | | 6% | 74.95±2.14 | |
| | | 8% | 100.00±0.00 | |
| | Enroot | 0.1% | 100.0±0.00 | 0.0 |
| | Attopsy | 0.1% | 100.0±0.00 | 0.0 |
| | Expel (Combination of canolar extract Tea tree oil) | 0.1% | 100.0±0.00 | 0.0 |

Values are Means \pm SE of three replication of three repeated experiments.

mungbean. Moreover, several workers (Gore et al, 2008) reported similar inhibitory potential of different fungicides against Macrophomina sp. The contact fungicides of copper group viz., copper oxychloride (50 %WP),copper hydroxide and copper oxychloride 435 (liquid) were tested against branch canker pathogen under in vitro. Among the copper group, copper oxychloride (50 % WP) was noticed highest growth inhibition against test pathogen followed by liquid copper oxychloride 435 and copper hydroxide (Table.2). Earlier researchers like, Sanjay et al, (2008) reported that the contact fungicide of copper oxychloride gave the best disease control of grey blight pathogen in tea. The commercial botanical fungicides were tested against Macrophoma sp. at different concentrations level. In this present study, botanical fungicides (Expel, Enroot and Attopsy) were found to be efficient against the test pathogen at 0.1 % concentration level (Table 3). These results are in agreement with Nepolean et al, (2014).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to UPASI – TRF, TRI, for providing the necessary facilities to carry out the experiment work.

REFERENCES

- Gore, D.D., Zote, K.K., Kohire, O.D. and Kohire patil, V.D. 2008. Control of *Macrophomina* blight of mungbean during rainy season. *Journal of Plant Disease Science*, Vol. 3:136-137.
- season. Journal of Plant Disease Science. Vol. 3:136-137.

 Nepolean, P., Balamurugan, A., Jayanthi, R., Mareeswaran, J and Premkumar, R. 2014. Bio efficacy of certain chemical and biofungicides against wood rot pathogen. Journal of Plantation Crops. 42: 341-347.
- Sanjay, R., Ponmurgan, P. and Baby, U.I. 2008. Evaluation of fungicides and biocontrol agents against grey blight disease of tea in the field. *Crop protection*, 27: 689-694.
- Suryawansi, D.D., Gore, D.B., Gawade, A.K., Pawar and Wadje, A.G. 2008. Efficacy of fungicides against Macrophomina blight of mungbean. Journal of Plant Disease Science. Vol. 3:40-42.